



11390 MARKAB DRIVE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92126-1325

1 April 2016

ASSEMBLY SPEAKER ANTHONY RENDON
STATE CAPITOL
ROOM 219
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

Dear Speaker Rendon,

Earlier today I tried to contact the Speaker's office using the form at

<https://lcmsubcontact.lc.ca.gov/PublicLCMS/ContactPopup.php?district=AD63>

which I accessed *via* your website page

<http://asmdc.org/speaker/about/your-assembly/speakers-role>

but was prevented from doing so. The 1618-character message I tried to submit using your "Contact Anthony" form was rejected with the explanation: "Address is not in District. Please contact your District Representative."

I have already done this, and I now seek, as a member of your Second Constituency — "the people of the entire state, as a Speaker is a statewide political figure and leader" (here quoting from the Web page entitled "Speaker's Role") — to appeal directly to you as my alternate representative in the California State Assembly.

I repeat below the message I tried to submit earlier today at 12:34 PM:

Hello, Speaker Rendon –

On 7/30/2015 I posted my first Open Letter to the lawmakers responsible for California Assembly Bill 1404 (the "Good Neighbor Fence Act of 2013") at

<http://she-philosopher.org/RA/SCCcase/comments-on-AB1404.html>

and asked my representative, Brian Maienschein (77th District), to pass on this URL to the appropriate legislators.

After there was no response to the queries raised here, I posted a second Open Letter, dated 2/10/2016, to the above URL, and again asked my Assemblymember to pass this on to lawmakers.

As of today, 8 months have passed since I first contacted California legislators, and I have yet to receive any answers to my queries about state law, as raised in both of my Open Letters and in my most recent

communication (dated 2/26/2016) with Assemblymember Maienschein's office. To repeat:

1. If Cal. Civ. Code § 841(a) does not apply to subdivision boundary fencing, to what does it apply?
2. What part of AB-1404 covers subdivision boundary fencing that was located by the developer 21 inches back from the subdivision boundary line?
3. Why are issues relating to enclosure, and unlawful enclosure, not addressed by AB-1404?
4. What protections from predatory neighbors do I, and others like me, have under the new law?

After 8 months of this unproductive back-and-forth with Assemblymember Maienschein's office (all fully documented at the above URL), I am hoping that your office will be more responsive to my concerns.

Thanks in advance for your time & consideration,
Deborah

Deborah Taylor-Pearce
dtp@she-philosopher.com

As indicated in my aborted message, everything you need to know about my issues with California AB-1404 is explained at one of my Web pages:

<http://she-philosopher.org/RA/SCCcase/comments-on-AB1404.html>

(note: URL is case-sensitive).

I would greatly appreciate it if you, or someone on your staff, would pass on this URL to those to whom my Open Letters are addressed ("the lawmakers responsible for California Assembly Bill 1404 (AB-1404)"). This is all I ask from your office — a way around the gatekeepers who prevent me from engaging in an unmediated, public dialogue with California legislators.

Once again, I extend my thanks for whatever help you can provide, along with my congratulations on your recent accession as speaker, and on the landmark passage of California's Minimum Wage Bill, which I heartily support. You're off to a very good start with this, and I shall watch with great interest to see what challenges you tackle next!

Best wishes for the journey ahead,

Deborah Taylor-Pearce